arXiv:0901.2806v2 [hep-th] 28 Jun 2010

# A remark on gauge invariance in wavelet-based quantum field theory

S.Albeverio[a], M.V.Altaisky[b,c]

[a] *Interdisziplinäre Zentrum für Komplexe Systeme, University of Bonn, Bonn, D-53115, Germany*
[b] *Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Joliot-Curie 6, Dubna, 141980, Russia*
[c] *Space Research Institute RAS, Profsoyuznaya 84/32, Moscow, 117997, Russia*

## Abstract

Wavelet transform has been attracting attention as a tool for regularization of gauge theories since the first paper of Federbush [1], where the integral representation of the fields by means of the wavelet transform was suggested:

$$A_\mu(x) = \frac{1}{C_\psi}\int_{\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{a^d} g\left(\frac{x-b}{a}\right) A_{\mu a}(b) \frac{da d^d b}{a},$$

with $A_{\mu a}(b)$ being understood as the fields $A_\mu$ measured at point $b \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with resolution $a \in \mathbb{R}_+$. In present paper we consider a wavelet-based theory of gauge fields, provide a counterpart of the gauge transform for the scale-dependent fields: $A_{\mu a}(x) \to A_{\mu a}(x) + \partial_\mu f_a(x)$, and derive the Ward-Takahashi identities for them.

*Keywords:* gauge invariance, wavelets, nonlocal field theory
*PACS:* 11.15.-q

Troubles with ultraviolet divergences taken together with the fact that strict localisability of quantum events is just an approximation that cannot be reached experimentally, stimulate the efforts to construct a self-consistent nonlocal field theory, at the possible lack of strict microcausality [3, 4, 2]. This is specially important for gauge field theories, including quantum electrodynamics and quantum chromodynamics.

In local abelian gauge field theory the local phase transformation of the fermionic matter fields

$$\psi(x) \to e^{-ief(x)}\psi(x), \quad \bar\psi(x) \to e^{ief(x)}\psi(x) \qquad (1)$$

(where $f$ is a real-valued gauge function of the space-time variable $x \equiv (x_\mu), \mu = 1,\ldots,d$, $e$ is the charge of the matter fields $\psi$), is accompanied by the substitution of space-time derivatives by covariant derivatives

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu} \equiv \partial_\mu \to D_\mu \equiv \partial_\mu + ieA_\mu,$$

which makes the theory invariant with respect to the local phase transformation (1), if the *gauge field* $A_\mu$ transforms accordingly:

$$A_\mu \to A_\mu + \partial_\mu f. \qquad (2)$$

The heuristic generating functional of such a theory is invariant under transformations (1),(2) if the source terms and the gauge fixing terms are invariant, heuristically it is thus given by

$$\begin{aligned} Z[J,\bar\eta,\eta] &= \mathcal{N}\int \mathcal{D}A_\mu \mathcal{D}\bar\psi\mathcal{D}\psi \exp\left(i\int L_{eff} d^d x\right) \\ &\equiv \left\langle \exp\left(i\int L_{eff} d^d x\right)\right\rangle \qquad (3) \\ L_{eff} &= \imath\bar\psi\gamma^\mu D_\mu\psi - m\bar\psi\psi - \\ &- \frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2\alpha}(\partial^\mu A_\mu)^2 + \\ &+ J^\mu A_\mu + \bar\eta\psi + \bar\psi\eta, \qquad (4) \end{aligned}$$

where $L_{eff}$ is the effective Largangian, $\mathcal{N}$ is normalisation constant, $\alpha$ is a gauge fixing parameter, $\gamma$ are the gamma matrices, $J, \eta, \bar\eta$ are test-functions for $A, \bar\psi, \psi$, respectively, $F$ is the curvature associated with A. The invariance of the generating functional (3) under the transformations (1),(2) is ensured by so-called Ward-Takahashi identities [5, 6].

The aim of present paper is to formulate a theory of the gauge fields $A_{\mu a}(x)$ that depend on both the position $x$ and the resolution $a$. As in previous papers [8, 7, 2] this is done by substituting the fields in the effective Lagrangian (4) in terms of their continuous wavelet transforms:

$$A_{\mu a}(x) = \frac{1}{C_g}\int_{\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{a^d} g\left(\frac{x-b}{a}\right) A_{\mu a}(b)\frac{da d^d b}{a}, \quad (5)$$
$$x, b \in \mathbb{R}^d, a > 0,$$

where $C_g$ is a positive normalisation constant of the *basic*

---

*Email addresses:* `sergio.albeverio@yahoo.com` (S.Albeverio), `altaisky@mx.iki.rssi.ru` (M.V.Altaisky)

*Preprint submitted to Elsevier* *June 29, 2010*

*wavelet* $g$, satisfying the admissibility condition

$$C_g = \int_0^\infty |\tilde{g}(ak)|^2 \frac{da}{a} < \infty, \tag{6}$$

and where $\tilde{g}$ means the continuous Fourier transform of $g$; see e.g. [9, 10] for reviews on the continuous wavelet transform.

The substitution (5) makes the effective Lagrangian (4) into an effective Lagrangian for a nonlocal field theory. That is why the local gauge invariance principle (1) should be reconsidered for such a theory. Using the ideas from nonlocal gauge field theory [11], we assume that the local phase invariance of the matter fields should be preserved under the substitution (5) and the gauge transformations of the scale-dependent gauge fields

$$A_{\mu a}(b) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{a^d} \bar{g}\left(\frac{x-b}{a}\right) A_\mu(x) d^d x$$

(where $\bar{g}$ is the complex conjugation of the basic wavelet $g$) should be chosen accordingly to keep that invariance. This implies the transformation conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x) &\to \psi(x) e^{\frac{-ie}{C_g} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}_+} \frac{1}{a^d} g\left(\frac{x-b}{a}\right) f_a(b) \frac{da d^d b}{a}}, \\ A_{\mu a}(x) &\to A_{\mu a} + \frac{\partial f_a(x)}{\partial x_\mu}, \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where

$$f_a(b) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{a^d} \bar{g}\left(\frac{x-b}{a}\right) f(x) d^d x$$

is the continuous wavelet transform of the gauge function $f$ of the original local theory (2). In the infinitesimal form ( i.e. up to order two in the power expansion of the exponent) this leads to the transformation law

$$\psi(x) \to \psi(x) \left[1 - \imath \frac{e}{C_g} \int \frac{1}{a^d} g\left(\frac{x-b}{a}\right) f_a(b) \frac{da d^d b}{a}\right].$$

Because of the linearity of the wavelet transform the equation (7) guarantees the gauge transform (2) for ordinary local gauge fields.

Let us now specify the gauge theory and the Ward-Takahashi identities [5] for the theory of scale-dependent fields $A_{\mu a}$.

The effective Lagrangian itself is gauge invariant by construction and only the source term acquires a multiplication by the factor

$$\exp\left(i \int \left[-\frac{1}{\alpha}(\partial^\mu A_\mu)\partial^2 f + J^\mu \partial_\mu f - ief(\bar{\eta}\psi - \bar{\psi}\eta)\right] d^d x\right),$$

which can approximately (up to the second order term in a heuristic expansion in a power series) be represented by a first order term for "small f", that is $1 + i\delta$ with

$$\delta \equiv \int d^d x \left[-\frac{1}{\alpha}\partial^2(\partial^\mu A_\mu) - \partial^\mu J_\mu - \imath e(\bar{\eta}\psi - \bar{\psi}\eta)\right] f(x).$$

Let us replace the fields in the equation above by their integral representations in terms of wavelet transform (5).

Integrating by parts we put the Laplacian $\sum_\mu \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_\mu^2} \equiv \partial^2$ onto the gauge fixing parameter $f$. Heuristically:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta &= \Big\langle \int d^d x \Big[-\frac{1}{\alpha}\frac{1}{C_g^2} \int \frac{1}{a_1^d} g^\mu \left(\frac{x-b_1}{a_1}\right) A_{\mu a_1}(b_1) \times \\ &\times \; d\mu(a_1,b_1) \partial^2_{x^2} \int \frac{1}{a_2^d} g\left(\frac{x-b_2}{a_2}\right) f_{a_2}(b_2) \times \\ &\times \; d\mu(a_2,b_2)\Big] - \frac{1}{C_g^2} \int \left[\partial^\mu J_{\mu a}(b)\right] f_a(b) d\mu(a,b) - \\ &- \; \frac{ie}{C_g^3} \int \left[\bar{\eta}_{a_1}(b_1)\psi_{a_3}(b_3) - \bar{\psi}_{a_1}(b_1)\eta_{a_3}(b_3)\right] \times \\ &\times \; f_{a_2}(b_2) \frac{1}{(a_1 a_2 a_3)^d} \bar{g}\left(\frac{x-b_1}{a_1}\right) g\left(\frac{x-b_2}{a_2}\right) \times \\ &\times \; g\left(\frac{x-b_3}{a_3}\right) d^d x d\mu(a_1,b_1) d\mu(a_2,b_2) d\mu(a_3,b_3) \\ &\Big\rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where $g^\mu \equiv \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_\mu}$ is the gradient of the basic wavelet function, $d\mu(a,b) = \frac{da d^d b}{a}$ can be looked upon as the measure on affine group [12, 13] written in $L^1$ norm [14], and the curly brackets $\langle \ldots \rangle$ denote the functional averaging mean value obtained by Feynman functional integration (3). Introducing the matrix elements of operators between wavelet basic functions

$$\begin{aligned} T(1,2) &\equiv \int g\left(\frac{x-b_1}{a_1}\right) \partial^2 g\left(\frac{x-b_2}{a_2}\right) \frac{d^d x}{(a_1 a_2)^d}, \\ T^{(\mu)}(1,2) &\equiv \int g^\mu \left(\frac{x-b_1}{a_1}\right) \partial^2 g\left(\frac{x-b_2}{a_2}\right) \frac{d^d x}{(a_1 a_2)^d} \\ &M(1,2,3) \equiv \\ &\equiv \int \bar{g}\left(\frac{x-b_1}{a_1}\right) g\left(\frac{x-b_2}{a_2}\right) g\left(\frac{x-b_3}{a_3}\right) \frac{d^d x}{(a_1 a_2 a_3)^d}, \end{aligned}$$

we heuristically derive the Ward-Takahashi identities for the scale-dependent fields $A_{\mu a}$. In terms of the above defined operators $T, T^{(\mu)}, M$ the variation term (8) can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \delta &= \Big\langle \int -\frac{1}{\alpha}\frac{1}{C_g^2} T(1,2) \partial^\mu_{b_1} A_{\mu a_1}(b_1) f_{a_2}(b_2) d\mu(a_1,b_1) \\ &\times \; d\mu(a_2,b_2) - \frac{1}{C_g^2} \partial^\mu J_{\mu a_2}(b_2) d\mu(a_2,b_2) \\ &- \; \frac{\imath e}{C_g^3} \left[\bar{\eta}_{a_1}(b_1)\psi_{a_3}(b_3) - \bar{\psi}_{a_1}(b_1)\eta_{a_3}(b_3)\right] \\ &\times \; f_{a_2}(b_2) M(1,2,3) d\mu(a_1,b_1) d\mu(a_2,b_2) d\mu(a_3,b_3) \Big\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

To obtain the heuristic variation of the generating functional the fields should be substituted by corresponding functional derivatives:

$$\psi \to \frac{1}{\imath}\frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{\eta}}, \quad \bar{\psi} \to \frac{1}{\imath}\frac{\delta}{\delta \eta}, \quad A \to \frac{1}{\imath}\frac{\delta}{\delta J},$$

with all variations taken with respect to the measure on the affine group $d\mu(a,b)$.

Assuming that the full variation of the generating functional with respect to gauge transformations is zero, this gives the functional equation

$$\Big[\frac{i}{\alpha C_g^2}T(1,2)\partial_1^\mu\frac{\delta}{\delta J^\mu(1)}-\frac{1}{C_g^2}\partial^\mu J_\mu(2)-\frac{e}{C_g^3}\big(\bar\eta(1)\frac{\delta}{\delta\bar\eta(3)}-$$
$$-\eta(3)\frac{\delta}{\delta\eta(1)}\big)M(1,2,3)d\mu(a_1,b_1)d\mu(a_3,b_3)\Big]Z[\bar\eta,\eta,J]=0.$$

To derive the Ward-Takahashi equations for connected Green functions we heuristically substitute

$$Z=\exp(iW).$$

This gives the following equation in functional derivatives

$$-\frac{i}{\alpha}\frac{1}{C_g^2}\int d\mu(a_1,b_1)\left[\partial_1^\mu\frac{\delta W}{\delta J^\mu(1)}\right]T(1,2)-$$
$$-\frac{1}{C_g^2}\partial^\mu J_\mu(2)-\frac{ie}{C_g^3}\int\left(\bar\eta(1)\frac{\delta W}{\delta\bar\eta(3)}-\eta(3)\frac{\delta W}{\delta\eta(1)}\right)\times$$
$$\times M(1,2,3)d\mu(a_1,b_1)d\mu(a_3,b_3)=0.$$

To get the equations for the vertex functions $\Gamma[\psi,\bar\psi,A_\mu]$ we apply the functional Legendre transform

$$\Gamma[\psi,\bar\psi,A_\mu]=W[\eta,\bar\eta,J]-\int\bar\eta\psi+\bar\psi\eta+JA$$

to the latter equations. Doing so we arrive heuristically to the following equation in functional derivatives for the vertex function

$$\begin{aligned}&-\quad\frac{1}{\alpha}\frac{1}{C_g^2}\partial_1^\mu A_\mu(1)T(1,2)+\frac{1}{C_g^2}\partial_2^\mu\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta A^\mu(2)} \qquad (9)\\&-\quad\frac{ie}{C_g^3}\left(\psi(3)\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\psi(1)}-\bar\psi(1)\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\bar\psi(3)}\right)M(1,2,3)=0,\end{aligned}$$

where the short-hand notation $A_\mu(1)\equiv A_{\mu a_1}(b_1),\psi(3)\equiv\psi_{a_3}(b_3)$ is used and the integration over all repeated indices is assumed. The Ward-Takahashi equations are heuristically derived by taking the second derivatives of the equation (9) at zero fields ($A=\psi=\bar\psi=0$). This gives

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{C_g^2}\partial_2^\mu\frac{\delta^3\Gamma[0]}{\delta\bar\psi(x_1)\delta\psi(y_1)\delta A^\mu(2)}-\frac{ie}{C_g^3}\frac{\delta^2}{\delta\bar\psi(x_1)\delta\psi(y_1)}\\\times\quad&\left(\psi(3)\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\psi(1)}-\bar\psi(1)\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\bar\psi(3)}\right)M(1,2,3)=0.\end{aligned}$$

Performing the heuristic functional differentiation and using the symmetry under the permutation 2 ↔ 3 after the integration we have the Ward-Takahashi identities

$$\begin{aligned}-&\quad\frac{1}{C_g^2}\partial_x^\mu\frac{\delta^3\Gamma[0]}{\delta\bar\psi(x_1)\delta\psi(y_1)\delta A^\mu(x)}\\=&\quad ie\frac{\delta^2\Gamma[0]}{\delta\bar\psi(1)\delta\psi(y_1)}M(x_1,x,1)- \qquad (10)\\-&\quad ie\frac{\delta^2\Gamma[0]}{\delta\bar\psi(x_1)\delta\psi(1)}M(1,x,y_1),\end{aligned}$$

where $x,x_1,y_1$ are arbitrary position-resolution arguments $x\equiv(a_x,b_x)\in\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R}^d$. Following , e.g. , [15] we define vertex functions and inverse propagators in the Fourier space

$$\begin{aligned}&\int d^db_xd^db_{x_1}d^db_{y_1}\exp\left(i(p'b_{x_1}-pb_{y_1}-qb_x)\right)\times\\\times\quad&\frac{\delta^3\Gamma[0]}{\delta\bar\psi(x_1)\delta\psi(y_1)\delta A^\mu(x)}\\=\quad&ie(2\pi)^d\delta(p'-p-q)\Gamma_{\mu a_{x_1}a_{y_1}a_x}(p,q,p'), \qquad (11)\\&\int d^db_{x_1}d^db_{y_1}\exp\left(\imath(p'b_{x_1}-pb_{y_1})\right)\frac{\delta^2\Gamma[0]}{\delta\bar\psi(x_1)\delta\psi(y_1)}\\=\quad&\imath(2\pi)^d\delta(p'-p)S'^{-1}_{a_{x_1}a_{y_1}}(p). \qquad (12)\end{aligned}$$

Using the definitions (11,12) we multiply equation (10) by $e^{\imath(p'b_{x_1}-pb_{y_1}-qb_x)}$ and integrate over $d^db_xd^db_{x_1}d^db_{y_1}$. This gives

$$\begin{aligned}&q^\mu\Gamma_{\mu a_4a_3a_1}(p,q,p+q)= \qquad (13)\\&=\int\frac{da_2}{a_2}S^{-1}_{a_1a_2}(p+q)\tilde M_{a_2a_3a_4}(p+q,q,p)\\&\quad-\int\frac{da_2}{a_2}\tilde M_{a_1a_3a_2}(p+q,q,p)S^{-1}_{a_2a_4}(p),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde M_{a_1a_2a_3}(k_1,k_2,k_3)\quad&=\quad(2\pi)^d\delta^d(k_1-k_2-k_3)\times\\&\times\quad\bar{\tilde g}(a_1k_1)\tilde g(a_2k_2)\tilde g(a_3k_3)\end{aligned}$$

is the Fourier image of the vertex operator $M$.

The equation (13) is a non-local analog of the ordinary (local) Ward-Takahashi equation in Fourier space.

## Acknowledgement

The paper was supported by DFG Project 436 RUS 113/951.

## References

[1] P. Federbush, A new formulation and regularization of gauge theories using a non-linear wavelet expansion, Progr. Theor. Phys. 94 (1995) 1135–1146.

[2] M. Altaisky, Quantum field theory without divergences, Physical Review D 81 (12) (2010) 125003.

[3] V. A. Alebastrov, G. V. Efimov, Causality in quantum field theory with nonlocal interaction, Communications in Mathematical Physics 38 (1) (1974) 11–28.

[4] G. Battle, Wavelets and renormalization group, World Scientific, 1999.

[5] J. Ward, An identity in quantum electrodynamics, Phys. Rev. 78 (1950) 182.

[6] Y. Takahashi, On the generalized Ward identity, Nuovo Cimento 6 (2) (1957) 371–375.

[7] M. Altaisky, Wavelet-based quantum field theory, Symmetry, Integrability and Geometry: Methods and Applications 3 (2007) 105.

[8] M. V. Altaisky, Scale-dependent functions, stochastic quantization and renormalization, Symmetry, Integrability and Geometry: Methods and Applications 2 (2006) 046.

[9] I. Daubechies, Ten lectures on wavelets, S.I.A.M., Philadelphie, 1992.
[10] C. Chui, An Introduction to Wavelets, Academic Press Inc., 1992.
[11] G. Efimov, Problems in quantum theory of nonlocal interactions, Nauka, Moscow, 1985, in Russian.
[12] A. L. Carey, Square-integrable representations of non-unimodular groups, Bull. Austr. Math. Soc. 15 (1976) 1–12.
[13] M. Duflo, C. C. Moore, On regular representations of nonunimodular locally compact group, J. Func. Anal. 21 (1976) 209–243.
[14] C. M. Handy, R. Murenzi, Moment-wavelet quantization: a first principles analysis of quantum mechanics through continuous wavelet transform theory, Phys. Lett. A 248 (1998) 7–15.
[15] L. Ryder, Quantum field theory, Cambridge University Press, 1985.